

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,254	ETCHELLS, MARC D.	
	Examiner MELANIE J. HAND	Art Unit 3761	

All Participants:(1) MELANIE J. HAND.**Status of Application:** pending(2) CHARLES RUGGIERO.(3) TONY PAVIGLIANITI.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2010**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

Claims discussed:

14,16

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Melanie J Hand/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated the interview to propose an examiner's amendment that would correct a perceived lack of support for the claim amendment filed 11/6/09. Upon further examination of the application, it was found that all limitations recited in all of the claims are supported. The amendment that resulted was to the "wherein" phrase in claim 14 to read "wherein said side panel bottom sheet allows fluid uptake therethrough."